

†Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, I want to make the point clear. It appears most of the hon'ble Members have not gone through the Agenda that is now before the House for today. We are not going to take up the consideration of the Mysore Ministers' Salaries and Allowances (Amendment) Bill, 1968, today. I am only introducing it. After the introduction is agreed to, I am going to make a statement on the drought situation in the State and certain literature is going to be circulated for the information of the hon'ble members. I felt that the hon'ble members may take some time to go through the literature and that they will be in a position to offer their views and suggestions tomorrow. Today I am going to make a statement about the drought situation and tomorrow the discussion will commence. That only shows, not only the hon'ble members, but the Government is also equally or rather more anxious than the members to discuss the situation. We are not taking up any Bills, except a few today. The hon'ble members may require to go through the literature that we are going to circulate to all the Members, and tomorrow the discussion will start. After introduction of the Bills, I am going to make a statement about the drought situation.

### INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Mallawaram).—Sir, I rise to a Point of Order. I think, the Bill that is going to be introduced is the Mysore Ministers' Salaries and Allowances (Amendment) Bill, 1968. Here, I would like to refer to Rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure. It says:

“ If the Bill is a Bill which under the Constitution cannot be introduced without the previous sanction or recommendation of the Governor, the member shall annex to the notice such sanction or recommendation conveyed through a Minister, and the notice shall not be valid until this requirement is complied with.”

My problem is this: I do not know whether this requirement has been complied with though the notice has been given to us. Article 207 of the Constitution is very clear. It says:

“ A Bill or amendment making provision for any of the matters specified in sub-clauses (a) to (f) of clause (1) of article 199 shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the Governor, and a Bill making such provision shall not be introduced in a Legislative Council:

Provided that no recommendation shall be required under this clause for the moving of an amendment making provision for the reduction or abolition of any tax.”

Under these circumstances, I submit that unless previous sanction or recommendation of the Governor has been obtained, permission for introduction should not be given.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY** (Minister for Parliamentary Affairs).—The objection raised by the hon'ble Member is a stereotyped one. Perhaps, the hon'ble Member is not able to notice that this Bill is being introduced to replace the Ordinance which has been issued by the Governor. It is the Governor who issues the ordinances. When the Bill to replace the Ordinance is sought to be introduced, necessary sanction will have been obtained.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.**—There is no merit in the Point of Order raised by the hon'ble Member Sri M. S. Krishnan. Recommendation of the Governor is necessary when we take it up for consideration.

**Sri M. NAGAPPA** (Raichur).—Sir, Rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure is very clear about this!

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.**—With regard to the introduction of this Bill, the objection raised by Sri M. S. Krishnan, is not at all tenable.

**Sri M. NAGAPPA.**—The restriction laid down for the introduction of the Bill as required under the Rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure is as laid down under Article 207 of the Constitution, whereas this Ordinance which has been promulgated is under article 213 of the Constitution. Even after the promulgation of this Ordinance, the Governor might have withdrawn or taken any other action. Whether the Governor has specifically given his consent for the introduction of this Bill has to be proved before this House before the introduction is made. Merely saying that there is an Ordinance, cannot remove the restriction laid down under Rule 67 of the Rules of Procedure read with Article 207 of the Constitution.

ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅನುಚ್ಛೇದ 203ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆದರೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು, ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.**—This is one of the Money Bills.

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I beg to move:

- (i) The Mysore Ministers' Salaries and Allowances (Amendment) Bill, 1968;
- (ii) The Mysore Irrigation (Levy of Betterment Contribution and Water Rate) (Amendment) Bill, 1968;
- (iii) The Mysore Irrigation (Levy of Betterment Contribution and Water Rate) (Second Amendment) Bill, 1968;
- (iv) The Mysore Irrigation (Amendment) Bill, 1968.

**Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.**—The Bills are introduced.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ (ಪ್ರವಣಿಬೆಳಗೊಳ).—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ತಾವು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೇಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ಒಂದು ಗಣನವಾದ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಖೇನ ತರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇವತ್ತು ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದಿಗೆ ಕುಳಿತರತಕ್ಕ ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ರಚನೆಯಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ನೂತನ ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲ.